

1:00pm - 4:30pm

Policy and funding models for graduate students and postdoctoral fellows

Symposium Organizer: University of Toronto

POLICY AND FUNDING MODELS FOR GRADUATE STUDENTS AND POSTDOCTORAL FELLOWS

- This presentation will include a few interactive survey questions using Poll Everywhere. Instructions will appear on the survey slide.
- To participate, you will need **internet access**.
- You can respond to the questions by:
 - Mobile phone via text: Text to **37607**, Message: **CSpcuoft** OR





Respond at **PollEv.com/cspcuoft**





POLICY AND FUNDING MODELS FOR GRADUATE STUDENTS AND POSTDOCTORAL FELLOWS

NOVEMBER 9, 2019 CANADIAN SCIENCE POLICY CONFERENCE

Vivek Goel Vice-President, Research & Innovation



Warm up question for Poll Everywhere





Do you work in ...

Community organization

Government

Post-secondary institution

Research institute

Other

Landscape in Canada





Data source: Statistics Canada, https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/t1/tbl1/en/tv.action?pid=3710001801



Fundamental Science Review - Recommendations



UNIVERSITY OF

A tri-council process to reinvigorate and harmonize scholarship and fellowship programs, and rationalize and optimize the use of current awards to attract international talent:

- Harmonized tri-council programs to award and administer all doctoral and postdoctoral fellow (PDF) awards;
- More harmonized levels of support (in both value and duration) for all doctoral and PDF awards;
- Elimination of restrictions on international portability of doctoral and PDF awards to Canadians, with monitoring of the results; and
- Refocusing of the Vanier and Banting programs as tools for international recruitment.



Budget 2018

Tabled in the House of Commons by the Honourable William Francis Morneau, P.C., M.P. Minister of Finance

EQUALITY

A Strong Middle Class

GROWTH

February 27, 2018

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO "Over the next year, the Government will be doing further work to determine how to better support students, the next generation of researchers, through scholarships and fellowships."



Agency Consultations



Canada Research Coordinating Committee has committed to 'establishing Canada as a world leader in supporting the development of talent throughout the research career life cycle'.





Reports and submissions



All are supportive of the recommendations of the Fundamental Science Review recommendations.

12

1) Scholarships and fellowships awarded to trainees

- Portable for student to any institution
- 2) Scholarships and fellowship to institutions to award to trainees
 - Pairing with supervisors and integration into program
- 3) Support for trainees included through supervisor research operating grants



Which model would you prefer to have in place for distribution of funding? (Prediscussion question)

Scholarships and fellowships to trainees

Scholarships and fellowships to institutions to award to trainees

Support to trainees through supervisor research operating grants





Should additional funding for scholarships and fellowships be used to:

Increase the VALUE of awards? Increase the NUMBER of awards?

NIH Pre- and Postdoctoral Programs and Early Investigator Career Development Programs

P. Kay Lund, PhD kay.lund@nih.gov Director, Division of Biomedical Research Workforce Office of Extramural Research Office of the Director National Institutes of Health



Topics

- My career path, challenges, rewards & relevant lessons learned
- The NIH Division of Biomedical Research Workforce (DBRW)
- NIH programs and mechanisms to support effective research training, career development and transition to research independence
- How to evaluate impact of specific programs and data on impact of some key programs
- Q and A re how NIH programs might assist in future planning for development and enhancement of Canadian programs



Research Career Path



- Start of the recombinant DNA/molecular biology revolution (Where is the DNA *library located in Boston?)*
- Needed to learn molecular biology fast!
- Project: gastrin biosynthesis/clone gastrin - done by different lab
- New project cloning of glucagon & discovery of GLP1 & GLP2



Research Career Path



Division of Biomedical Research Workforce (DBRW)

Develop, maintain, enhance & evaluate NIH policies & programs that support innovative research training, career development & diversity of the biomedical research workforce.

- Training Program Policy Officer
 Shoshana Kahana PhD
- Training Program Policy and Evaluation Officer Jennifer Sutton, MS
- Scientific Workforce Diversity Officer Lisa Evans, JD
- Labor Economist/Modeling
 Silda Nikaj PhD

Director DBRW P. Kay Lund, PhD Evaluations of impact & economic analyses related to biomedical & physician scientist workforce training, retention, the associated career options & labor market.

Health Science Policy Analyst
 Pritty Joshi PhD

- AAAS fellow Marguerite Mathews, PhD
- Program Specialist Kristen Kirkham
- Collaborators/Advisors
 Walter Schaffer, PhD

<u>http://acd.od.nih.gov/Biomedical_research_wgreport.pdf_</u>'NIH should create a permanent unit in the Office of the Director that works with the extramural research community, the NSF and the NIH ICs to coordinate data collection activities and provide ongoing analysis of the workforce and evaluation of NIH policies so that they better align with the workforce needs'.



NIH Research Training Website

National Inst	tutes of Health				
Research Trainin	g and Career Development		SEA	RCH	Q
About DBRW	Career Path	Programs	Institute/Program Matrix	Intramural Resour	ces
tomorrow	Predoctoral Training/	Postdoctoral Training/	Early Research Career	Investigator	° ° °
Undergraduate and Postbaccalaureate	Clinical Doctorate	Clinical Residency	Development		



National Institutes of Health Office of Extramural Research

FY 2017 Operating Budget: \$34,229,139M





NIH Budget Office: http://officeofbudget.od.nih.gov/index.htm

NIH Training, Career Development & Independent Research Programs





Predoc and Early Postdoc Training



Ruth L. Kirschstein NRSA

Goal: to ensure that a diverse pool of highly trained scientists is available, in appropriate scientific disciplines, to address the Nation's biomedical, behavioral, and clinical research needs;

Institutional Training Programs (T-Series):

- Awards to an institution to support research training for graduate students and/or postdocs (including clinicians) selected by the institution
- Requires a program director and experienced faculty to serve as mentors

Individual Fellowships (F-Series):

- Awards for combined clinical and research doctoral degree training (MD/PhD) (F30)
- Awards for graduate students working towards research doctoral degree (F31 & F31D)
- Awards for postdoctoral fellows working towards research independence (F32)

NRSA awards currently available only to US citizens or permanent residents





Kirschstein-NRSA training grants and fellowships Preand Post-Doctoral full-time training positions awarded

Pre-Doctoral Training 🔲 Post-Doctoral Training 🦳 Pre-Doctoral Fellowship 📰 Post-Doctoral Fellowship

- Pre-doc training slots decreased 6.3%
- Post-doc training slots decreased 7.3%
- Pre-doc fellowship **increased 332%**
- Postdoc fellowship decreased 36.4%
- Postdoc fellowships have significant positive impact on subsequent independent NIH support: <u>https://www.nber.org/papers/w24508</u>

Number of Positions

 Post-doc to faculty transition is a major 'exit' point from the biomedical research workforce, especially for women & under-represented groups





NRSA: current and ongoing recommendations for enhancements



- Stipend increases & increased funds for benefits 2016 − 2019 ✓
- Consider increased numbers & enhancements to postdoctoral fellowships
 - Continued stipend and benefit increases
 - Improve opportunities to transition to independence
 - Extend current 3 year postdoctoral limit to 4 years for clinicians
- Promote, enhance internships/externships to facilitate career development
 - Other laboratories, institutions or agencies, policy, communication, industry
 - Current NSTC Lab2 Market committee assessing current federal programs for entrepreneurial training
- Strategic Plan for Data Science (ongoing)
 - Data science, computational science, rigor & reproducibility to be incorporated into all NIH training and fellowship programs



Postdoctoral Mentored Career Development: K Awards



K01: Support postdoctoral or early career scientists in mentored basic or behavioral research
K08: Support clinician scientists in mentored basic or translational research
K23: Support clinicians in mentored patient oriented research
K12/KL2: Institutional awards appoint PhD or clinician scientists to pursue mentored research



Pathway to Independence Award



K99/R00: Facilitates a *transition* from a mentored postdoctoral research position to a stable independent research position with *independent NIH research support* at an earlier stage than is currently the norm

Supports protected time (75%) in 2 distinct phases:

K99 – Phase 1- 2 years

- Mentored: must be affiliated with an institution
- Within 4 years of attaining PhD or completing clinical training
- 2007 awardees: 94.7% transition to R00; 2014 awardees 87.6% transition to R00.

R00 – Phase 2 (3 years)

- Independent (tenure-track or equivalent),own lab limited teaching and/or clinical responsibilities to assist pathway to next independent award.
- 'Quality' of tenure-track offer administratively reviewed by NIH staff before R00 awarded
- There is no U.S. citizenship requirement for applicants to the parent K99 (PA-18-397; PA-18-398)
- New BRAIN Initiative K99-R00 to promote diversity requires citizenship or permanent residence: (PAR-18-814; PAR-18-813)



National Institutes of Health Office of Extramural Research

Individual Research Career Development Awards Number of entry-level awards

🗖 K01 🔲 K08 🥅 K23 💼 K25 🛑 K99 /





How to evaluate programs

Metrics:

- Number of applicants and awardees
- Number who continue in research and specific programs
- Demographics of applicants & awardees proceeding to 'next stage'
- Representation ratio (NIH funded versus relevant labor market)

Evaluation of Program Impact:

- Regression Discontinuity analyses compare applicants with similar 'scores' & 'characteristics' who were *funded* or received an excellent score but just missed an award (*unfunded*) to more directly assess the impact of the award itself
- Percentage of those who go on to next award or 'independence' (R01 equivalent)
- Periods of differing NIH budget allocations (doubling 1998-2003; post-doubling 2004-2016)





Priority Score \longrightarrow

Impact of K awards on success in R01 Eq applications during doubling of NIH budget & Post doubling

- K01 Award:
 - Doubling no significant effect
 - Post-doubling 44% more likely to receive an R01
- K08 Award:
 - Doubling 53% more likely to receive an R01
 - Post-doubling no significant effect
- K23 Award
 - Doubling 61% more likely to receive an R01
 - Post-doubling 55.4% more likely to receive an R01
- Time to first R01 increased by 0.6-1.6 years but no significant increase in time to second R01





Impact greater for women & under-represented groups



R00 Awardees: Subsequent R01eq. or RPG Awards



Pros & Cons of K01, K08, K23 & K99-R00 awards Pros:

- All awards a period of mentored training with a goal to promote successful independent careers.
- K23>K01>K08 awards have highly significant impact on receipt of independent NIH R01 awards with greater impact for women and under-represented groups.
- R00 promotes earlier independence/success in independent R01 awards (but evaluations comparing those with similar scores/characteristics *funded or not* is complicated because peer review occurs at the K99 stage).

Cons:

- Delay in time to first R01/independence for K01, K23 and K08 awardees.
- Some extramural institutions/departments accept only applications from candidates with R00.
- Varied levels of salary and research support across different NIH Institutes & Centers.
- Feasibility of 75% effort for clinicians.

Future K award working group will discuss strategies to enhance & harmonize awards across NIH & extramural institutions



Discussion points 1

Benefits of institutional training awards versus individual fellowships

- Fellowships may promote independence
- Institutional awards provide a network for trainees



 Institutional awards *may* benefit graduate students more than postdocs (would awards combining pre- and postdocs better promote networks for retention?)

How to evaluate/compare outcomes of students and postdocs supported on 'Research Grants' rather than training awards/fellowships?

Novel models 'Institutional Research & Career Development Awards (IRACDA)'

- Partners research intensive and less resourced/minority serving institutions; Postdocs do research & teaching
 and encourage students to do research
- Majority of postdocs go on to faculty positions at research intensive or less resourced institutions

https://www.nigms.nih.gov/News/reports/Documents/IRACDA-outcomes-report.pdf

Postdoctoral Training Aligned with the Academic Professoriate. BioScience 2011 61 699--705



Discussion points 2

Career Development (K) awards



- Clear benefit to career advancement & retention in research as well as diversity
- Similarities/differences between NIH and Canadian models?

Physicians in biomedical research

- Residency and medical school debt can be exit points from research careers
- New Institutional 'Research in Residency' R38 Program NOT-HL-18-639
 - Followed by individual K38 award designed to promote retention in research <u>NOT-</u> <u>HL-17-533</u>
- LRP program: <u>https://www.lrp.nih.gov/</u>

Canadian models?



THANK YOU! QUESTIONS?

Keep the Joy in Research Writing a Grant is Fun & Rewarding Trainees and Mentees Provide a Scientific Family Forever

Websites: <u>https://grants.nih.gov/grants/oer.htm</u>

37

Contact us: <u>NIHTrain@mail.nih.gov</u>



NIH includes 27 Institutes and Centers (IC) 24 provide extramural funding





Major decline in women & under-represented groups between postdoc & independent (RPG/R01)awards



Representation ratios of NIH-funded workforce versus the relevant labor market, by race, ethnicity, and female vs male, 2008–2012. The dashed line at 1.0 indicates the transition point between over-representation (>1) or underrepresentation (<1).

^A Includes all NIH trainee and fellowship award mechanisms.

^B Includes the following NIH mentored award mechanisms: K01, K07, K08, K22, K23, K25, K99, R00.

^C Includes the following NIH independent research award mechanisms: R01, R23, R29, R37, DP2, R03, R15, R21, R22, R33, R34, R35, R36, R55, R56, RC1, P01, P42, PN1, U01, U19, UC1.

^D Includes the following NIH independent research award mechanisms: R01, R23, R29, R37.



Acad Med. 2016;91:1164-72. Measuring Diversity of the National Institutes of Health-Funded Workforce. Heggeness ML¹, Evans L, Pohlhaus JR, Mills SL.

Common Features of K Awards



- By the time of award/appointment, candidates must be citizens, non-citizen nationals, or lawfully admitted for permanent residence in the U.S. (Except for the parent K99-R00)
- Awardees/appointees must have a research or clinical doctoral degree from an accredited domestic (U.S.) or foreign institution
- Awardees or appointees must have a full-time appointment at the institution, and must commit a minimum of 9 person-months (75% of full-time professional effort) to research career development
- Former PD/PIs on major NIH research grants (e.g., R01), other career development awards (i.e., K awards), or the equivalent are not eligible

K-Kiosk: https://researchtraining.nih.gov/programs/career-development





K12/KL2: Support training of postdocs or early career scholars for careers in **specified** research areas of interest to one or more NIH Institutes and Centers (IC)

- Some support clinicians or clinically relevant research (e.g BIRWCH K12 scholars program; NCATS KL2 programs)
- <u>IRACDA</u> K12 programs: combine postdoctoral research at a research intensive institution & teaching/mentoring undergraduates at partner institutions committed to training, students from groups underrepresented in biomedical research
- Provide institutions with flexibility to prepare clinically-trained scientists or PhDs for independent research careers or academic careers at multiple institution types
- Individualized mentored research experiences and career development guidance for scholars selected by the grantee institution
- Encouragement/expectation to apply for either individual K award (K08, K23, K01) or research project grants (R03/R21/R01/Foundation)



Mentored Research Scientist Awards (K01)



K01: Supports mentored research experience & career development in the biomedical or behavioral sciences leading to research independence

- Provide salary and research support for up to 5 years of protected time under the guidance of an experienced mentor
- Expectation that the K awardee will launch an independent research career and be competitive for new research project grant (e.g., R01)
- Some NIH IC use the K01 for individuals who propose to train in a new field or those who had a hiatus in their research career
- Some NIH IC utilize the K01 award to increase research workforce diversity



Mentored Clinical Scientist Awards (K08)



K08: Supports protected time for mentored research & career development in biomedical, behavioral, or clinical research, including **translational research**

- For individuals with **clinical doctoral degrees**
- Supports candidates with different levels of prior research training and at different stages in their mentored career development
- Candidates with limited experience may propose a period of didactic training followed by a period of mentored research
- Candidates with previous research experience and training may use the entire award period to support research & career development that focuses on transition to independence



Mentored Patient-Oriented Research Awards (K23)



K23: Supports mentored research & career development for investigators who committed to **Patient-Oriented Research** (POR)

- Encourage research-oriented clinicians to develop independent research skills & gain experience in advanced methods and experimental approaches needed to become independent investigators
- POR: research conducted with human subjects (or on material of human origin such as tissues, specimens, and cognitive phenomena) for which an investigator directly interacts with human subjects.
- POR: includes research on mechanisms of human disease, therapeutic interventions, clinical trials & development of new technologies



Mentored Quantitative Research Awards (K25)

K25: Supports investigators whose quantitative science and engineering research has not previously focused primarily on health & disease

- Candidates must have advanced degree in a quantitative area of science or engineering (e.g., M.S.E.E., Ph.D., D.Sc.)
- Provides a unique opportunity for candidates to embark on special study, including course work, seminars, meetings, and mentored research
- Encourages research-oriented quantitative scientists (e.g., mathematics, statistics, economics, computer science, imaging science, informatics, physics, chemistry) and engineers with little or no experience in biomedicine to conduct basic or clinical research



Panel Discussion, Q&A

Dr. Alejandro Adem Chief Executive Officer and Scientific Director, Mitacs Inc.

Prof. Martha Crago Vice-Principal, Research and Innovation, McGill University

Dr. Bonnie Le Banting Postdoctoral Fellow, Rotman School of Management, University of Toronto

Dr. P. Kay Lund

Director, Division of Biomedical Research Workforce and the NIH Extramural Research Training Officer in the Office of Extramural Research, Office of the Director, National Institutes of Health (NIH)





Which model would you prefer to have in place for distribution of funding? (Postdiscussion question)

Scholarships and fellowships to trainees

Scholarships and fellowships to institutions to award to trainees

Support to trainees through supervisor research operating grants